



Invisible Harm: The Consequences of Pornography on Neurodivergent Youth

CultureReframed

Table of Contents

Abstract	3
Conceptual Framework	4
Method	5
Findings	6
The Distortion of Sexual Norms	6
Exploitation of Neurological Reward Systems	7
Disembodiment and Objectification Loops	8
Neurodivergence and Intersecting forms of Marginalization	10
The Denial and Inadequacy of Sex Education	10
Conclusion	11
References	12

Abstract

This paper synthesizes research to examine how pornography uniquely affects neurodivergent youth. Online pornography has reshaped sexual learning environments for youth and adolescents, with documented impact on relational and behavioral outcomes. How these dynamics impact neurodivergent youth is an important and emerging area of study. Drawing on interdisciplinary scholarship in neuroscience, developmental psychology, embodiment theory, and disability justice in relation to key features of pornography and the porn industry (e.g., gendered objectification, commercialization of sexual media, digital reward mechanisms), this examination illuminates how highly stimulating, rapidly escalating, and reward-optimizing sexual media environments may interact with neurodevelopmental characteristics, such as heightened reward sensitivity, reliance on explicit modeling, differences in sensory and social processing, and constrained access to sexual learning opportunities. These forces shape embodied expectations and reinforce ableism alongside other oppressive power structures. Rather than framing neurodivergence as deficit, this framework situates vulnerability within the convergence of social and structural inequities.

Keywords: neurodivergence, ableism, disability justice, embodiment, interoception, high-engagement pornography, algorithmic reinforcement, implicit/explicit body mapping, sex education, digital literacy, porn literacy

The widespread availability of online pornography constitutes a global public health crisis. For neurodivergent youth - including autistic youth and those with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) - this concern intersects with ableism, educational access, and a range of critical dimensions of safety, such as digital, psychological, relational, and physical. For these youth, social and behavioral characteristics may increase vulnerabilities to the harms of exploitation, marginalization, violence, and miseducation. A robust body of research links adolescent pornography consumption to shame, social confusion, relational dissatisfaction, and internalized stigma (Dawson et al., 2020; Minihan et al., 2025). Because neurodivergent youth experience elevated rates of bullying, social exclusion, and stigma, the harms and consequences of adolescent pornography use may be intensified (Trundle et al., 2022). This white paper advances a conceptual framework foregrounding neurodivergence, embodiment theory, and disability justice to examine these vulnerabilities within peer-reviewed literature.

Conceptual Framework: Neurodivergence, Embodiment, and Disability Justice

Neurodiversity, embodiment theory, and disability justice come together to assert that human dignity depends on the recognition of our relational and embodied experiences. Each of these frameworks oppose deficit-based explanations or notions of ‘fixing’ people and instead situate vulnerability within broader systems that regulate whose bodies are valued, exploited, or protected (Garland-Thomson, 2011; Piepzna-Samarasinha, 2018). Embodiment theory underscores that identity, knowledge, and intimacy emerge through lived bodily experience rather than abstract cognition alone (Merleau-Ponty, 1962). Disability justice further critiques structural forces that constrain disabled people’s autonomy, sexual self-determination, and access to relational belonging (Piepzna-Samarasinha, 2018). Together, these perspectives provide an identity-affirming foundation for understanding pornography’s potential harms, not as inherent to sexuality itself, but as emerging from the interaction between neurodevelopmental differences, objectifying media environments, and sociopolitical systems that already restrict marginalized youths’ opportunities for embodied, relational learning. The following sections offer greater detail on diagnoses commonly associated with neurodivergence.

Autism. Autism, or Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), is commonly defined in clinical literature as a neurodevelopmental condition characterized by differences in social communication and interaction, along with restricted or repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or sensory experiences (American Psychiatric Association, 2022). From a neurodiversity perspective, autism is a form of neurological difference characterized by distinct cognitive styles involving strengths, such as deep focus, honesty, creativity, and alternatives to social norms (Pellicano & den Houting, 2022). Self-advocates have critiqued highly medicalized, deficit-based autism narratives for obscuring how harms arise from social and environmental mismatch, arguing instead that the majority of negative outcomes stem

from exclusionary contexts and lack of accommodation rather than inherent individual deficits (Pellicano & den Houting, 2022; Botha et al., 2022).

ADHD. Likewise, mainstream messages about ADHD tend to enforce simplistic, deficit-oriented notions of inattention and impulsivity (Barkley, 2015; Sonuga-Barke et al., 2010). Neurodiversity-oriented scholarship, on the other hand, supports the understanding that ADHD-associated traits are not immutable nor quantifiable—they represent differences in attention, focus, regulation, motivation, and novelty-seeking that are best understood in relationship with environments. While the APA (2022) diagnostic criteria for ADHD includes the interference with functioning across contexts, a neurodiversity framework helps to illuminate ways that interference is environmentally and culturally shaped. For example, contemporary digital environments—which themselves can be viewed as ‘unnatural’—interact with neurodivergent attentional styles in ways that amplify both engagement and risk.

AuDHD. AuDHD is a non-medical, neurodivergent community term used to describe the co-occurrence of autism and ADHD within one person. The term represents a complex intersection of traits associated with both conditions, including differences in attention regulation and executive functioning alongside differences in sensory processing. Individuals navigating these combined profiles may also develop coping strategies in response to social expectations, including masking or camouflaging behaviors intended to conceal or compensate for neurodivergent traits (Hull et al., 2019; McQuaid et al., 2022). Research estimates that approximately 40-70% of autistic individuals also meet diagnostic criteria for ADHD (Rong et al., 2021; Melville, 2025). Understanding this intersection of neurodivergent traits is important when examining how individuals interact with digital environments.

Key Message: *A social model of disability helps to situate the subjectivities and environmental factors shaping disability diagnoses and holds powerful implications for how all youth are vulnerable to the neurological harms of algorithmic reinforcement, manipulation of reward pathways, and pornography exposure and consumption.*

Method

This paper draws upon an interdisciplinary review of peer-reviewed literature examining (a) neurodivergence and associated disability labels (b) embodiment and disability justice frameworks, and (c) empirical research on pornography use and its developmental and relational impacts. Searches were conducted using academic databases including PsycINFO, ERIC, PubMed, and Google Scholar. Studies published primarily within the past 20 years were emphasized, with earlier foundational works included where conceptually necessary. Aside from the conceptual model, sources were included if they examined neurodevelopmental differences or disability-related sexual health outcomes and investigated pornography consumption, sexual media effects, or sexual scripting processes. Given the emergent nature of research at the intersection of neurodivergence and pornography exposure, this review adopts a narrative integrative approach rather than a systematic meta-analytic design.

Literature was synthesized using a conceptual integration framework to identify patterns across disciplines. Particular attention was paid to points of intersection between

neurodevelopmental characteristics (e.g., reward sensitivity, social learning patterns), digital platform design (e.g., algorithmic engagement optimization), and relational or embodied developmental outcomes. The resulting themes comprise a model for understanding the harms of pornography through both the nuance of neurodiversity and within broader systems of ableism. For the purposes of this paper, pornography was understood as sexually explicit media content and its messaging, while the porn industry referred to the commercial, technological, and labor systems that structure the production, circulation, and monetization of said content. This distinction supported the examination of both cultural messaging and structural conditions shaping sexual media landscapes in relation to neurodivergent experiences.

Findings

Four interconnected themes were identified as contributing to heightened vulnerability to pornography-related harms for neurodivergent youth: (a) the distortion of sexual norms via algorithmic amplification and exploitation of neurological reward systems, (b) disembodiment and objectification loops, (c) neurodivergence and intersecting forms of marginalization, and (d) and the one-two punch of both the denial and inadequacy of sex education.

The Distortion of Sexual Norms via Algorithmic Amplification and Exploitation of Neurological Reward Systems

Pornography consumption has risen with ubiquitous smartphone access and private, always-on internet connectivity, with the increased likelihood of exposure to pornography by late childhood (Minihan et al., 2025). This early and often unfiltered exposure to sexually explicit content through pop-ups, peer sharing, or algorithmic recommendation systems frequently occurs before children receive any formal or developmentally appropriate sex education (Minihan et al., 2025; Davis et al., 2020). Research distinguishes between exposure—incidental or accidental encounters with sexually explicit material—and consumption, which refers to intentional and repeated viewing for arousal, coping, or information-seeking (Minihan et al., 2025). This distinction is helpful in discerning how youth may be differentially affected by exposure in ways that lead to repeated exposure and/or great and greater consumption, such as neurodivergent youth with tendencies toward sensory, reward, and regulation-seeking behaviors or impulsivity associated with ADHD (Fraumeni-McBride et al., 2024; Privara & Bob, 2023). For autistic individuals, repeated exposure—even when unintentional—has been associated with entrenched misconceptions about consent and intimacy (Motamed et al., 2025). An understanding of neurodivergent vulnerabilities to high engagement pornography is necessary to understanding this risk of harmful misconceptions surrounding consent and intimacy.

Exploitation of Neurological Reward Systems

High engagement pornography refers to sexual media designed to elicit strong neurological activation. This neurological activation is often driven by stimulation of the brain's dopamine reward systems through features such as novelty, intense visual stimuli,

rapid escalation, and immediate sexual arousal cues (Kühn & Gallinat, 2014; Hilton, 2013). Contemporary platform algorithms amplify this content because highly arousing and emotionally activating material increases user engagement metrics, including click-through rates, viewing duration, and repeated platform use (Montag & Hegelich, 2020; Zuboff, 2019). In this sense, algorithmically circulated pornography frequently operates through what may be understood as “dopamine fast-tracking,” characterized by rapid, fragmented, and decontextualized content optimized for immediate reward rather than relational meaning-making. Because of how platforms structure access, autoplay, and recommendations, most pornography, or ‘mainstream’ pornography, qualifies as high engagement, and mainstream pornography frequently portrays detached, unrealistic, or violent sexual dynamics (Bridges et al., 2010; Sun, Bridges, Johnson, & Ezzell, 2016). Consider popular search terms reported by porn hosting platforms. In 2024, the most searched for term on Pornhub in the U.S. was “hentai” (Pornhub, 2025), a style of Japanese anime characterized by explicit content often depicting child-like characters engaged in forms of sexual violence. The sexual violence portrayed largely targets minor-coded female characters, often in a context of nonconsent. This pedophilic boundary-crossing content (Dines & Sanchez, 2023) and misogynistic messaging inherent to this popular porn category holds dire consequences for real-life child sexual assault and exploitation (Wood, 2013; Steel et al., 2021).

Cultural trends reflecting inequitable power dynamics are also mirrored in pornography. For example, the term “tradwife”, a lifestyle trend encouraging a return to traditional gender roles of homemaking, childbearing, and childcare saw a 76% increase on Pornhub in 2024, with similar upward trends across Instagram and TikTok. Neurodivergent youth, whose learning styles may draw explicit meaning from fictional media and engage intense visual patterns with deep focus, sustained interest, and attention to detail—cognitive strengths in many contexts—may be especially vulnerable to internalizing harmful messages and scripts. Taken together, these neurological reinforcement mechanisms and social conditions map developing neural associations related to arousal, intimacy, and relational expectations, and in turn, reinforce sexual scripts that shape expectations and cultural norms regarding age, consent, and power (Wright, 2011; Wright et al., 2016).

Repeated exposure to high engagement pornography—the highly stimulating contemporary reality of sexual media—has been associated with shifts in sexual scripting, attentional patterns, and expectations surrounding intimacy and arousal (Wright et al., 2016). These dynamics may be particularly salient for neurodivergent youth, whose neurodevelopmental profiles can involve heightened reward sensitivity, differences in impulse regulation, or reliance on explicit learning (Golan et al., 2010; Mazurek & Wenstrup, 2013). For example, individuals with ADHD often demonstrate increased dopamine reward-seeking behaviors and sensitivity to immediate reinforcement, which may heighten vulnerability to rapidly reinforcing digital content (Volkow et al., 2011), while autistic youth may rely more heavily on sexual media to interpret social and relational norms when experiential learning opportunities are limited (Sala et al., 2020). Youth with intellectual disabilities face the most significant gaps in comprehensive sexuality education, increasing the likelihood that media becomes a primary model of sexual behavior and knowledge (McDaniels & Fleming, 2016).

Influencer economies and algorithmic recommendation systems shape social media feeds in ways that can promote sexually explicit or hypersexualized content and diminish users' awareness of how this content is curated and why it is suggested (Regehr et al., 2025; Papageorgiou et al., 2022). In other words, social media algorithms and influencer economies not only normalize and increase engagement with hypersexualized imagery and associated sexual norms, they also influence how users interpret what they consume by reinforcing appearance-focused comparisons and objectifying representations (Papageorgiou et al., 2022; Vendemia et al., 2024). Alarming, because children and adolescents are developmentally less equipped to critically evaluate algorithmic drivers of content exposure and socially constructed sexual scripts, they are at greater risk of being influenced by these systemic processes.

Importantly, these effects should be understood not as inherent vulnerabilities within neurodivergent individuals, but as the result of interactions between neurodevelopmental differences and digital environments intentionally engineered to maximize reward-driven engagement by promoting increasingly personalized and often intensified content (Bucher, 2018; Zuboff, 2019). Thus, while exposure to pornography puts all youth at risk of distorted perceptions of consent, intimacy, bodies, and relationships due to their developmental vulnerability, neurodivergent youth face heightened risk due to differences in social-cognitive processing, sensory regulation, and restricted access to inclusive instruction (Motamed et al., 2025; Fraumeni-McBride et al., 2024; Dawson et al., 2020). The next section describes related findings on disembodiment, objectification, and sense of self.

Disembodiment and Objectification Loops

The concept of explicit bodies refers to how bodies are marked and disciplined by social, cultural, and political forces, while implicit bodies represent sites of agency, lived experience, and relational possibility (Kwon, 2023). In sexualized media, bodies are frequently reduced to discrete, visualized parts rather than embodied persons, a process of disembodiment that separates physical representation from human agency and relational context and functions as a form of explicit body mapping. Such representations encourage the consumption of bodies rather than engagement with personhood, reinforcing objectifying frameworks that shape how viewers perceive others and themselves (Paasonen, 2021).

Feminist objectification theory and disability studies together suggest that embodiment is socially regulated through both gendered and ableist norms that influence whose bodies are recognized as fully human subjects (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997; Garland-Thomson, 2011). The frequency of sexualized influencer imagery has been shown to affect comparison behaviors and self-objectification generally (Prichard et al., 2025), while women are disproportionately subject to sexual objectification in sexualized media and pornography, which is associated with self-objectification, diminished agency, and altered expectations surrounding intimacy and consent (Bridges et al., 2010; Wright et al., 2016).

These processes are particularly salient during adolescence and emerging adulthood, developmental periods in which individuals construct sexual identity, relational scripts, and

embodied self-understanding. Within this context, neurodivergent individuals may experience harms on multiple fronts: they may internalize messages that distance them from their own embodied experiences through ableist and sexualized representations, while simultaneously learning to interpret others—especially women—through objectifying frameworks that can disrupt intimacy, mutual recognition, and relational connection. Rather than producing empowerment or relational competence, these intersecting dynamics may contribute to isolation, confusion about consent and reciprocity, and challenges forming authentic interpersonal relationships across the lifespan.

Extending these intersecting dynamics of objectification and dis/embodied regulation, disabled and neurodivergent youth are frequently positioned within explicit body scripts that reinforce stigma and constrain how their sexuality is socially understood. Disability scholarship documents persistent assumptions that disabled individuals are either asexual or hypersexual, rooted in cultural narratives that frame their bodies as childlike, dangerous, fragile, or undesirable (Gill, 2015; Shildrick, 2012). Within educational contexts, these youth are often denied agency and self-determination through heightened surveillance, exclusion framed as protection, or institutional neglect, practices that limit opportunities for developing autonomy and relational competence (Hehir, 2002; Sala et al., 2020).

Neurodivergent youth often benefit from explicit opportunities to develop interoception, or the ability to sense, interpret, and regulate the body's internal physiological state (Garfinkel et al., 2016). However, autistic youth are frequently socialized within therapeutic and educational contexts that prioritize behavioral compliance and social norms, requiring the suppression or override of internal discomfort, sensory needs, or bodily signals (Hull et al., 2017). When embodied knowledge is devalued in favor of external normalization, opportunities to develop interoceptive awareness and self-regulation may be curtailed. The denial of embodiment as knowledge can proliferate vulnerabilities, particularly when youth encounter disembodied and objectifying sexual media messaging. Such experiences may also contribute to internalized shame, reduced bodily self-trust, and uncertainty in interpreting one's own desires and boundaries.

Against this backdrop, pornography—particularly the high engagement and algorithmically amplified forms widely accessible online—can function as a form of externally imposed implicit body mapping. Rather than supporting youth in developing self-directed understandings of emotion, sensation, and consent, sexualized media may shape expectations about what bodies and intimacy “should” look like, how power and dominance operate, how pleasure is expressed, and whose desires are treated as legitimate (Wright et al., 2016). Because pornography frequently portrays bodies as objects while separating pleasure from relational safety and mutual recognition, engagement with such media may contribute to experiences of disembodiment, particularly for youth already navigating ableist constraints on embodied self-understanding. Taken together, these intersecting dynamics suggest that pornography does not operate as an isolated developmental influence but rather interacts with ableist forms of social regulation—such as norms and institutional practices that constrain disabled and neurodivergent youths' bodily autonomy, sexuality, and self-determination—alongside sexual objectification and unequal access to embodied

self-knowledge, shaping how marginalized youth come to understand intimacy, agency, and relational safety.

Neurodivergence and Intersecting forms of Marginalization

Pornography frequently portrays harmful tropes about gender, race, disability, and sexuality (Dawson et al., 2020). Neurodivergent youth often hold multiple marginalized identities that compound vulnerability to sexual harm and digital exploitation (Trundle et al., 2022). That this exists within a backdrop of data consistently demonstrates high rates of sexual victimization among disabled persons broadly, and at significantly higher rates than peers without disabilities for those with intellectual disability and/or autism (Shapiro, 2018; Libster et al., 2023). For neurodivergent youth, histories of trauma can further complicate interpretation and response to explicit content, while educational and healthcare systems rarely prioritize the overlapping needs of disability, racialization, and LGBTQ+ status in sexual health programming (Trundle et al., 2022). This neglect fosters gaps in representation, data, and services, leaving youth navigating multiple forms of oppression to rely on unsafe, often digital sources.

Consider the intersection of neurodivergence and LGBTQ+ identities. According to the National Institutes of Health (2025), people with disabilities and people who identify with minority sexual orientation and gender identities are considered health disparity populations. Adults with disabilities report LGBTQ+ identity at around twice the rate of non-disabled individuals, (Moura et al., 2025), and roughly 3 in 10 LGBTQ+ identifying youth have been diagnosed with at least one form of disability, compared to roughly 8-10% of youth generally (HRC, 2024). Among transgender and gender expansive youth, 78.8% are diagnosed with a psychiatric or mental health disability such as anxiety and depression, and 61.2% receive a neurodivergent-related diagnosis (i.e., autism, ADHD, cognitive disability).

These rates, when considered in relation to the high rates of bullying (Libster et al., 2023) and sexual victimization (Shapiro, 2019) of people across these identities, demonstrate a clear need for responsive sex education programs with increased support, knowledge, and advocacy. However, The 2024 Disabled LGBTQ+ Youth Report published by the Human Rights Campaign Foundation highlights how heightened vulnerabilities to sexual abuse and exploitation of youth with overlapping disability and LGBTQ+ identities are compounded by limited access to inclusive, affirming, and comprehensive sexuality education that addresses both disability and LGBTQ+ identities in relation to how intersecting forms of ableism, heteronormativity, and transphobia contribute to increased rates of violence.

The Denial and Inadequacy of Sex Education

The rise of internet-enabled devices and high-speed connectivity has dramatically increased children's and adolescents' access to sexually explicit material, often well before they have received any form of comprehensive sex education (Minihan et al., 2025). For neurodivergent youth, barriers to accessible instruction and social learning make pornography an even more salient reference point (Fraumeni-McBride et al., 2024). While sex

education curricula that centers porn literacy is available, such as courses offered from Culture Reframed, the majority of prevailing sex education programs have not kept pace with digitally mediated sexual socialization (Lindberg et al., 2016; Peter & Valkenburg, 2016). Moreover, most sex education curricula emphasize heteronormativity despite the growing number of U.S. high schoolers reporting nonheterosexual/diverse gender identities (Raifman et al., 2021), and very few are designed with disability access, representation, or accommodations in mind (Health Vermont, 2021; Dawson et al., 2020).

For neurodivergent youth, differences in social cue interpretation, cognitive flexibility, and sensory processing can heighten the harms of exposure to pornography and complicate meaning-making (Fraumeni-McBride et al., 2024; Motamed et al., 2025). Abstinence-only models ignore online sexual culture, while many comprehensive programs still assume neurotypical processing and fail to address algorithmic influence, disability inclusion, or explicit instruction on fantasy versus reality (Dawson et al., 2020). This omission disproportionately harms neurodivergent youth, who benefit from concrete language, repetition, visual supports, and scenario-based learning. In terms of accessibility, standardized curricula rarely provide accommodations or tailored resources, leaving students with disabilities disproportionately excluded and more vulnerable to misinformation and abuse (Devkota et al., 2025) while reinforcing ableist assumptions about desire, autonomy, and risk (Trundle et al., 2022). Silence around pornography is no longer defensible; it perpetuates inequity by leaving the most vulnerable learners without protective knowledge.

Conclusion

Pornography has become a powerful yet dangerous teacher of sex education for many young people. Neurodivergent youth are at an increased risk of experiencing a multitude of direct and indirect negative consequences from pornography, along with additional vulnerabilities related to the nuances of neurodivergence (Motamed et al., 2025; Trundle et al., 2022). For neurodivergent youth, the absence of inclusive, explicit, embodied, and trauma-informed sex education magnifies risks of confusion, coercion, and victimization. The emerging evidence base clearly supports integrating pornography literacy, algorithm awareness, and disability-affirming pedagogy into comprehensive sex education (Davis et al., 2020; Motamed et al., 2025; Libster et al., 2023).

Interdisciplinary evidence suggests that pornography-related harms are best understood ecologically, arising not solely from content exposure but from interactions among platform economies, neurological and developmental vulnerabilities, and structural inequities, which may disproportionately affect historically marginalized youth (Peter & Valkenburg, 2016; Paasonen, 2021). Recognizing neurodivergence as difference with inherent strengths rather than disorder would allow researchers, educators, and self-advocates to collaboratively examine vulnerabilities to pornography, modalities of harm-reduction, and pathways to empowered learning without reducing individuals to characteristics or ableist narratives.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2022). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed., text rev.; DSM-5-TR). <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425787>
- Barkley, R. A. (2015). *Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A handbook for diagnosis and treatment* (4th ed.). Guilford Press.
- Botha, M., Dibb, B., & Frost, D. M. (2022). "Autism is me": an investigation of how autistic individuals make sense of autism and stigma. *Disability & Society*, 37(3), 427–453. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2020.1822782>
- Bridges, A. J., Wosnitzer, R., Scharrer, E., Sun, C., & Liberman, R. (2010). Aggression and sexual behavior in best-selling pornography videos: A content analysis update. *Violence Against Women*, 16(10), 1065–1085. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077801210382866>
- Davis, A. C., Wright, C. J., Murphy, S., Dietze, P., Temple-Smith, M. J., Hellard, M. E., & Lim, M. S. C. (2020). A digital pornography literacy resource co-designed with vulnerable young people: Development of "The Gist." *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(6), e15964. <https://doi.org/10.2196/15964>
- de Martini, D., et al. (2023). Pornified content on social media: Trigger for porn addiction? *Journal of Social Media in Society*, 12(2), 67–90. <https://thejsms.org/index.php/JSMS/article/view/1047>
- Devkota, A., Robards, F., & Zablotska-Manos, I. (2025). Relationships and Consent Education for Adolescents with Intellectual Disability: A Scoping Review. *Youth*, 5(1), 22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/youth5010022>
- Dawson, K., Nic Gabhainn, S., & MacNeela, P. (2020). Toward a model of porn literacy: Core concepts, rationales, and approaches. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, 17(4), 650–665. <https://www.consenthub.ie/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/Toward-a-Model-of-Porn-Literacy-Core-Concepts-Rationales-and-Approaches.pdf>
- Dines, G. & Sanchez, M. (2023). Hentai and the pornification of childhood: How the porn industry just made the case for regulation. *Dignity: A Journal of Analysis of Exploitation and Violence*, 8(3), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.23860/dignity.2023.08.01.03>

- Fraumeni-McBride, J., Keating, P., & Coelho, J. S. (2024). Autism, ADHD, sexual compulsivity, and problematic pornography use: A narrative review. *Sexual Medicine Reviews*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/26929953.2024.2368538>
- Fredrickson, B. L., & Roberts, T. A. (1997). Objectification theory: Toward understanding women's lived experiences and mental health risks. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 21(2), 173–206. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-6402.1997.tb00108.x>
- Garfinkel, S. N., Tiley, C., O'Keeffe, S., Harrison, N. A., Seth, A. K., & Critchley, H. D. (2016). Discrepancies between dimensions of interoception in autism: Implications for emotion and anxiety. *Biological Psychology*, 114, 117–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2015.12.003>
- Garland-Thomson, R. (2011). Misfits: A feminist materialist disability concept. *Hypatia*, 26(3), 591–609. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1527-2001.2011.01206.x>
- Gill, C. J. (2015). Already doing it: Intellectual disability and sexual agency. In R. McRuer & A. Mollow (Eds.), *Sex and disability* (pp. 199–224). Duke University Press.
- Golan, O., Baron-Cohen, S., & Golan, Y. (2010). The “reading the mind in films” task: Complex emotion recognition in adults with and without autism spectrum conditions. *Social Neuroscience*, 3(2), 111–123. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17470910701577088>
- Hehir, T. (2002). Eliminating ableism in education. *Harvard Educational Review*, 72(1), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.72.1.03866528702g2105>
- Health Vermont. (2021). *Essential topics in sexual health education*. Vermont Department of Health. https://www.healthvermont.gov/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/CYF_EssentialTopicsinSexualHealthEducation.pdf
- Hilton, D. L. (2013). Pornography addiction—A supranormal stimulus considered in the context of neuroplasticity. *Socioaffective Neuroscience & Psychology*, 3(1), 20767. <https://doi.org/10.3402/snp.v3i0.20767>
- Human Rights Campaign Foundation. (2024). *2024 disabled LGBTQ+ youth report: A descriptive overview of the experiences of disabled LGBTQ+ youth*. <https://reports.hrc.org/2024-disabled-lgbtq-youth-report>
- Hull, L., Petrides, K. V., Allison, C., Smith, P., Baron-Cohen, S., Lai, M.-C., & Mandy, W. (2017). “Putting on my best normal”: Social camouflaging in adults with autism spectrum conditions. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 47, 2519–2534. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-017-3166-5>

- Hull, L., Mandy, W., Lai, M.-C., Baron-Cohen, S., Allison, C., Smith, P., & Petrides, K. V. (2019). Understanding the reasons, contexts and costs of camouflaging for autistic adults. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 49, 1899–1911.
- Kapp, S. K., Gillespie-Lynch, K., Sherman, L. E., & Hutman, T. (2013). Deficit, difference, or both? Autism and neurodiversity. *Developmental Psychology*, 49(1), 59–71. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028353>
- Kühn, S., & Gallinat, J. (2014). Brain structure and functional connectivity associated with pornography consumption: The brain on porn. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 71(7), 827–834. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.93>
- Kwon, H. (2023). Body mapping as embodiment and witnessing and its implications for art education. *International Journal of Education Through Art*, 18, 309-324. https://doi.org/10.1386/eta_00103_1.
- Libster, N., D'Argembeau, A., D'Hoine, T., Salmon, E., & Guillaume, M. (2023). Predictors of sexual victimization among autistic and non-autistic adults: A systematic review. *Autism Research*, 16(11), 2151–2170. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.3076> (Open access version: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11362180/>)
- Lindberg, L., Maddow-Zimet, I., & Boonstra, H. (2016). Changes in adolescents' receipt of sex education, 2006–2013. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 58, 621-627.
- Mazurek, M. O., & Wenstrup, C. (2013). Television, video game and social media use among children with ASD and typically developing siblings. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 43, 1258–1271. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-012-1659-9>
- McDaniels, B., & Fleming, A. (2016). Sexuality education and intellectual disability: Time to address the challenge. *Sexuality and Disability*, 34(2), 215–225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11195-016-9427-y>
- McQuaid, G. A., Raitano Lee, N., & Wallace, G. L. (2022). Camouflaging in autism spectrum disorder: Examining the roles of sex, gender identity, and diagnostic timing. *Autism*, 26(2), 552–559.
- Melville, A. (2025). AuDHD Explained: When Autism & ADHD Overlap. London Psychiatry Clinic blog entry. <https://www.londonpsychiatry.clinic/blog/audhd-explained>
- Minihan, D. S., Burton, M., Giunta, K., Villegas, L., & Nicholas, M. (2025). Young people's intentional and unintentional encounters with online pornography: An umbrella review. *Archives of sexual behavior*, 54(4), 1575–1588. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-025-03109-2>

- Montag, C., & Hegelich, S. (2020). Understanding dopamine-driven social media use: The role of algorithms and reward mechanisms. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 11, 100252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2020.100252>
- Motamed, M., et al. (2025). A systematic review of sexual health, knowledge, and experiences of autistic people. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12016163/>
- Moura, I., Stober, K., Caldwell, J., & Nguyen, T. (2025). Prevalence of LGBTQ+ identity among people with disabilities and long-term services and supports needs in the United States. *BMC public health*, 25(1), 4273. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-025-25605-9>
- Nicolaidis, C. (2012). What can physicians learn from the neurodiversity movement? *Virtual Mentor*, 14(6), 503–510. <https://doi.org/10.1001/virtualmentor.2012.14.6.oped1-1206>
- Niazof, D., Weizman, A., & Weinstein, A. (2019). The contribution of ADHD and attachment difficulties to online pornography use among students. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 93, 56–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2019.07.002>
- Paasonen, S. (2021). *Objectification: On the difference between sex and sexism*. Routledge.
- Papageorgiou, A., Cross, D., & Fisher, C. (2022). Sexualized images on social media and adolescent girls' mental health: Qualitative insights from parents, school support service staff and youth mental health service providers. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(1), 433. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20010433>
- Pellicano, E., & den Houting, J. (2022). Annual research review: Shifting from “normal science” to neurodiversity in autism science. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 63(4), 381–396. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13534>
- Peter, J., & Valkenburg, P. M. (2016). Adolescents and pornography: A review of 20 years of research. *The Journal of Sex Research*, 53(4–5), 509–531. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2016.1143441>
- Prichard, J., et al. (2025). Comparing and self-objectifying: The effect of sexualized influencer imagery on mood and body image. *Sex Roles*, 83, 123–145.
- Privara, M., & Bob, P. (2023). Sexual development in ADHD and internet pornography consumption. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 14, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2023.1240222>

- Raifman, J., Moscoe, E., Austin, S. B., & McConnell, M. (2021). Prevalence of nonheterosexual identity and same-sex sexual contact among high school students in the US from 2015 to 2019. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 175(9), 970–972. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.0541>
- Regehr, K., Shaughnessy, C., Zhao, M., Cambazoglu, I., Turner, A., & Shaughnessy, N. (2025). Normalizing toxicity: The role of recommender algorithms for young people’s mental health and social wellbeing. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1523649>
- Rong, H., Yang, C., Jin, Z., Wang, Y., et al. (2021). Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in individuals with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 3(83). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2021.101759>
- Sala, G., Hooley, M., Attwood, T., & Mesibov, G. (2020). Sexuality and relationship education for individuals with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review. *Review Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 7, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40489-019-00177-7>
- Singer, J. (1999). “Why can’t you be normal for once in your life?” From a “problem with no name” to the emergence of a new category of difference. In M. Corker & S. French (Eds.), *Disability discourse* (pp. 59–67). Open University Press.
- Shapiro, J. The Sexual Assault Epidemic No One Talks About. National Public Radio. <https://www.npr.org/2018/01/08/570224090/the-sexual-assault-epidemic-no-one-talks-about>
- Shildrick, M. (2012). Critical disability studies: Rethinking the conventions for the age of postmodernity. In N. Watson, A. Roulstone, & C. Thomas (Eds.), *Routledge handbook of disability studies* (pp. 30–41). Routledge.
- Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S., Bitsakou, P., & Thompson, M. (2010). Beyond the dual pathway model: Evidence for the dissociation of timing, inhibitory, and delay-related impairments in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 49(4), 345–355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2009.12.018>
- Steel, C. M. S., Newman, E., O’Rourke, S., & Quayle, E. (2021). Collecting and viewing behaviors of child sexual exploitation material offenders. *Child Abuse & Neglect* 118: Article 105133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2021.105133>
- Sun, C., Bridges, A., Johnson, J., & Ezzell, M. (2016). Pornography and the male sexual script: An analysis of consumption and sexual relations. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 45(4), 983–994. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-014-0391-2>

- The Guardian. (2024, February 6). Social media algorithms 'amplifying misogynistic content', study finds. <https://www.theguardian.com/media/2024/feb/06/social-media-algorithms-amplifying-misogynistic-content>
- The Times. (2024, December 8). Britain leads charge against harmful algorithms to keep young safe. *The Times*.
- Trundle, G., et al. (2022). Prevalence of victimisation in autistic individuals: A meta-analysis. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 23(4), 1139–1156. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524838020933860> (Open access version: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10486169/>)
- Turvey, J., et al. (2025). A digital pornography education prototype co-designed with marginalized youth: Formative evaluation. *JMIR Formative Research*, 9, e65859. <https://formative.jmir.org/2025/1/e65859>
- Vendemia, M. A., et al. (2024). How social media images of sexualized young women elicit appearance commentary and reinforce objectification. *Body Image*, 42, 101683. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2024.101683>
- Volkow, N. D., Wang, G.-J., Tomasi, D., & Baler, R. D. (2011). Motivation deficit in ADHD is associated with dysfunction of the dopamine reward pathway. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 16(11), 1147–1154. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2010.97>
- Walker, N. (2014). Neurodiversity: Some basic terms & definitions. *Autistic Self Advocacy Network*.
- Wood, H. (2013). Internet pornography and paedophilia. *Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy* 27 (4): 319-338. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02668734.2013.847851>
- Wright, P. J. (2011). Mass media effects on youth sexual behavior: Assessing the claim for causality. *Annals of the International Communication Association*, 35(1), 343–385. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23808985.2011.11679121>
- Wright, P. J., Tokunaga, R. S., & Kraus, A. (2016). A meta-analysis of pornography consumption and actual acts of sexual aggression in general population studies. *Journal of Communication*, 66(1), 183–205. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12201>
- Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism*. Public Affairs.